

Letters to the Editor ...

Re: Cancer Remission

Dear Editor: The purpose of my trip to Australia was to attend the Cancer Congress which was held in Sydney September 15-18. I was delighted to attend, since my physician, Dr Sam Chachoua, delivered a presentation of his Induced Remission Therapy.

In 1991 and 1992 I had breast cancer surgery, chemotherapy, as well as radiation therapy, which was devastating and made me very ill. This program concluded in August 1992. In May 1995, I learned that the breast cancer had metastasised to the lung.

On June 1, 1995, I started Dr Chachoua's treatment. By July 5, 1995—I must stress that in just five short weeks—the lung cancer was totally gone, the breast cancer was reduced by approximately 45% and I am now in remission. My deepest gratitude to Dr Chachoua.

It is my understanding that Induced Remission Therapy works by making a disease that the body can't fight effectively such as cancer, AIDS, arthritis, etc., appear to be a disease that we can easily fight (example: cold, flu, bacterial infection). This is done by use of specific sterile extracts of harmless organisms that the body can readily recognise and eliminate. These extracts are made to attach only to diseased cells such as cancer cells. In a nutshell, we can't fight cancers easily but we can eliminate bacterial infections, colds, flu, etc. within a few days, so if we make the cancer look like a cold or a flu by sticking sterile extracts of cold and flu bugs all around it, the body now sees it as something it can easily attack, and remarkable shrinkage can occur within days to weeks. I am living proof of that.

Cases were presented of cancer, AIDS and other diseases where the use of tagging was combined with the use of resistant organisms and organ extracts. Hard evidence of efficacy was shown, and healed patients, amongst which I was one, also gave their inspiring stories.

Dr Chachoua simply wishes that the treatment become known, accepted and available to all who need it, and he has called upon any accredited university or medical institute to evaluate the procedure.

Regards,

Cynthia M., California, USA.

Re: Australia Sold Out

Dear Editor: Having been born in Australia in 1960, I can remember a friendlier, ethical and more stable

society. Ah, memories! I recall watching the last and tearful news programme of Victoria's Sir Eric Pearce, and the moon landing which heralded the coming of an allegedly advanced world. I am old enough to know what tariffs are, and recall times when factory walls advertised jobs, and children weren't born to become unemployment statistics. Back in those days, banks treated everyone with respect. Australians controlled Australia.

Recent months have seen an explosion in foreign sales of Australian-owned companies. The ALP, Coalition and Democrats have allowed company after company to fall to absentee landlords. Under archaic 'economic rationalist' policies espoused by Adam Smith in 1776, Qantas, National Mutual, Edgell-Birdseye, Petersville, Herbert Adams and United Energy (Vic.) have 'gone'. Meanwhile, BTR Nylex and Zurich Insurance have been fully bought out by overseas parents. Politicians even allowed National Mutual to go to the French!

In the rush to push Australia into Fourth World poverty, existing Australian-owned companies are squeezed out of markets by enormous foreign corporations. Our businesses are taken over, job losses follow, profits leave the country and our foreign debt soars. So the cycle continues.

Together, we must stand up and declare, "Enough is enough!"

Yours sincerely,

Paul T.,

New Australia Movement, PO Box 270, Northcote, Vic. 3070, Australia.

Re: Sick Medical System

Dear Duncan: The figures quoted in your Aug-Sept '95 Global News report of 14,000 people dying unnecessarily and 25,000 to 30,000 suffering serious disability in Australian hospitals from preventable mistakes—atrocious as it is, is still grossly undercalculated.

Firstly, the study was conducted by medical professionals who are already so well-indoctrinated by the drug companies and medical establishment they could in no way be impartial or objective as to what constitutes damaging medical treatments or accidental deaths. (Your previous articles on vaccination side-effects confirmed this clearly.)

Secondly, I read with interest in *The Bulletin* (13 June '95) that the

files of 445 of the 14,179 cases studied mysteriously went missing!

The more worldly-wise among us know what that really means. We can safely assume most of these files would have been culled by the hospitals concerned because they were too embarrassing to see the light of an official enquiry. (This is the reason why our medical records are normally kept secret from us anyway.)

Extrapolating these 445 missing files out to the 2.83 million yearly hospital admissions in Australia represents around 9,000 cases that can presumably be placed in the dead or severe permanent disability basket!

Their study clearly confirmed anyway the still-suppressed, little-known truth that you have to be an absolute idiot to trust a doctor's opinion about anything. The sad truth is that the much-trusted doctor and medical establishment are actually in business to make people sicker—not to make them well! For this is where the really big money is to be made these days by the doctors and drug companies. It is in all the return visits people have to make after they deliberately misdiagnose and mistreat them!

Health is the last thing they would want anyone to feel as it is so bad for business and profits. This is why they work so hard to ban or suppress any proven, safe, effective natural therapies, so people have no choice but to go back to them to be made sicker—or possibly even killed!

Welcome to the wicked world of big business!

Regards,

Jack B., Cairns, Qld, Australia.

Re: Cancer Conspiracy

Dear Duncan: We have spent the last few days at the Second World Congress on Cancer, where we again were one of the exhibitors. I guess that you have already heard about the media ban on anything to do with this conference, but I thought you would be interested in a few of the details.

Organiser Jennie Burke has spent the last three months attempting to publicise this event and had sent invitations to every oncologist registered in Australia. She had three replies.

Several journalists with Sydney newspapers had prepared stories, only to have their editors pull the stories at the last minute. The *Today Tonight* show interviewed

Sir William Keys, member of ICAN, but the segment was not screened in NSW!

The Sydney Morning Herald, The Australian and The Telegraph-Mirror ran negative articles about the Congress, quoting Professor Langlands from Westmead Hospital as saying, "promoting treatments that had not been proven or substantiated in medical journals only preyed on people who were desperate for a cure." This from a man representing the Australian medical profession that, for example in the case of breast cancer, claims a success rate of between 4 and 6%! Do these people feel satisfied with these sorts of figures and feel that there is nothing else to learn about treating this disease?

To give a rundown on just a few of the overseas experts presenting at the conference, we had one gentleman who is the President of the Austrian Society of Oncology and has won the highest medical scientific award in Germany for research on malignant diseases. Another is a holder of an Albert Schweitzer Award, among others, is an international expert in metabolic chemistry and is one of the most qualified professors in Europe. Another is a professor of chemistry, is also an oncologist and has his own clinic in Germany. We also had the head of the German Society of Oncology, the winner of numerous awards, and is in the running for a Nobel Prize. These people are more qualified than anyone I have met in this country, and they were deeply offended at the comments in the press. They were not paid to come here, and their only concern is to further studies in cancer research and to share this information openly. They are seriously considering lodging a formal complaint with their respective ambassadors.

They regard some of the methods being used here as dangerous and archaic. Methods such as chemotherapy and radiology themselves are not proven or substantiated, and there is plenty of evidence to support the case that most patients would survive longer and in more comfort without the use of these treatments.

I was ashamed to be an Australian, and I apologised to many of these experts for the way they had been treated in my country. The fact that a large number of Australians who are lucky enough to be able to afford it, do in fact travel to Europe for treatment and return in better condition than when

they left, says a lot.

I believe that the medical cover-up and conspiracy in this country is reaching a dangerous level. Hundreds of lives are lost each year, needlessly in many cases, and many people are forced to undergo dreadful forms of treatment that the experts are well aware will be of little benefit anyway.

With kindest regards,

Greg Fearn, Essiac Importers, Drummoyne, NSW, Australia.

(Well said, Greg! Readers who want videos of the Cancer Congress should see the ad on page 85. Ed.)

Re: Suppressed Inventions

Dear Editor: I have recently read the book, *Suppressed Inventions and Other Discoveries*. I am awestruck at the progress that science has made. By science, I mean those 'independent thinkers' who bravely challenge conformity, the norm and conventional thinking, and, by doing so, tap into undiscovered resources, laws and principles (which, in my view, have to exist in the first place, if they are to then be discovered).

But at the same time I am grievously appalled at the conduct of those who have endeavoured to suppress and cover up such marvellous discoveries and inventions, motivated by self-interest, greed, power, pride and wealth.

Anyway, the reason why I am writing is to find out if or not your magazine NEXUS has a subscription. And if so, could you please send me a subscription form with amounts and so forth?

I found out about NEXUS Magazine in the acknowledgements section of *Suppressed Inventions and Other Discoveries*, edited by Jonathan Eisen, found on the inside of the first page.

Thank you very much.

Paul L., Te Aroha, New Zealand.

Re: Nuke Tests/Volcano Link?

Dear Editor: Over a fortnight ago the French conducted the first of a series of underground nuclear tests. In a couple of days, another 'device' will be exploded.

At this present time, in New Zealand, Mount Ruapehu has become active, and the people of New Zealand are living in fear of a volcanic eruption.

The European Parliament is to investigate if there is a link between the French testing in the South Pacific and the volcanic activity in

New Zealand.

It is highly likely that a connection will be found; however, can the French prove, especially after their dogmatic and arrogant approach to the whole affair, that they had no knowledge that something of this nature could occur? I very much doubt it.

The French cannot be allowed to continue their testing. Will the people of Australia sit complacently as the French do what they will, under the guise of ignorance?

I urge all of you to do whatever you can to protest against this, as this testing mustn't go on. It will never be safe to create nuclear explosions underground, above ground, in test situations, or in any situations.

I earnestly hope that Jacques Chirac will leave it at this and try to make amends to the people of French Polynesia, New Zealand and the world community.

Yours sincerely,

Felicity G., Cockatoo, Victoria, Australia.

Re: Luck of the Irish

Dear Editor: Thank you for your magazine. I was once suborned by the present educational system, but have had enough experiences to change my mind. I realise that I cannot share these experiences with others and am unlikely to convince them, but your magazine offers me hope that there are many who, like me, are able to accept much of what modern science runs away from.

The most common statement that I hear is that there are now more people alive than have existed for the Earth's entire history. This is based on calculations that do not take into account infant mortality. Just passing through!

The key to this lies in the *Mabinogion*, which tells of the arrival of spacefarers bringing high technology, and the use by the Irish of the cauldron, as it is called. This created many new personalities as the various parts of all the slain warriors were animated. The texts tell of single hands with a life of their own. Thus the subsequent history of the planet has been somehow to undo the harm that these proud Irish caused in their immense folly. Their own folklore is curiously silent on this issue.

The historical Jesus was part of this process of resolution. The truth is bigger than any religion.

Yours sincerely,

John C., Chewton, Vic., Australia.

Re: Bigger than NEXUS!

Dear Duncan: Behind the news, a conspiracy that is Bigger than NEXUS is taking place! The creative genius of your resident cartoonist remains alienated from praise! Until now, only remote viewing counted these famous luminaries—Orion O'Neal, Pleiades Domingo and Dan Asteroid—as his cosmic fans. Shame upon shamen because this prodigious potential legend's imagination is inspired by Mars Bars. He's been caught wearing a photon belt but never spotted mooning in public! Am I the only Earthling with such feelings of ufo-ria at his time-warped humour? Somerville rules, okay!

Yours in Anti-Suppression Diplomacy,

E. T. Roswell III

PS: I thoroughly enjoy your mag. and hope it continues successfully into the 21st century and beyond.

Very best wishes,

John B., East Corral, NSW.

Re: Zantac Anxiety

Dear Editor: I winced on reading F. W., Australia's recommendations to use Essiac in conjunction with Zantac to obtain "best results" for gastric ulcers! (NEXUS vol. 2, no. 27.) F. W. must not have any idea of the side-effects of Zantac.

I once asked a doctor what these were and she replied with a list as long as your arm, and as I didn't have a pen and paper handy at the time I managed to remember at least three of them: constipation, depression and confusion—the latter being only a polite term for the onset of senility.

What is the point of cleaning out the tissues with an anti-cancer agent (Essiac) whilst fouling the system up at the same time with a highly toxic substance called Zantac? It cannot make sense, in my view, to ingest toxic substances which don't belong in the body whilst the body is trying to eliminate them. Would it not be more beneficial to boost the immune system with what it is lacking—oxygen?

For quite some time this drug has been the world's best seller, and as it can't be purchased otc (over the counter) it's obviously a lucrative commodity for the physicians, drug company and shareholders alike. Anyone who kids themselves that drugs are manufactured for the benefit of health must be living on a flat Earth.

Yours sincerely,

Margaret N., Wetherby, England.

Re: Big Bang Debunked!

Dear Editor: The Australian and New Zealand Association for the Advancement of Science (ANZAAS), whose Congress begins on Sunday 24th [September] at the University of Newcastle, is suppressing the fact that the Big Bang theory has been debunked through the discovery that the famous Cavendish Experiment measures electrostatic attraction and not the Newtonian constant as an independent universal force of gravitational attraction.

Analysis of Cavendish's 1798 paper indicates at least four phenomena which point to the electrostatic basis of the measured attraction, and this can be verified by using equal masses on the Cavendish torsion balance instrument.

This discovery came about through the formulation of an algorithm of universal cause and effect, called The Rule of Structuring, which is derived from the rejection of the present force/energy paradigm of physics in favour of a mass-based paradigm.

The Rule of Structuring is presented in the article, "The Evolution of Physics and the Integration of Science", which was sent to the ANZAAS committee for inclusion in the Congress satchel. However, the committee has elected to suppress the matter by refusing to include the article in the satchel.

The article presents the broad ramifications of the Newtonian constant being a measure of electrostatic attraction, which includes the non-uniformity of gravity over time.

However, the major ramification is that the cosmic red shift phenomenon is indicative of the structuring of light, and not an expanding Universe which began with a big bang. The Universe is emergent as structure through the formation of elliptical galaxies and stars and is, therefore, infinite in its continuance and finite in its structuring possibilities.

As both a collective and detailed representation, The Rule of Structuring is a means to integrate science and pertains to biology as a specification of evolution and functioning, a unified bio-systematic, and application in biology is obviously a priority at this time.

Stephen Mooney, Harcourt, Victoria, Australia.